Case 2:15-cv-00531-RBS-DEM Document 1 File

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTULT TOURT FOR THE EATERN DISTAILS OF VIRGINIA Wortulk Onlin

IN RE: Norman Kerin Wilkerson V. LOWIT DF LHEITERFIELD. et al.

## FAIR MUTILE

TO: COUNTY OF Chestulield: Thestulield Police destroint thesterfield Commonwell Attenets affect, william Devenut, Thomas Melina. LING KHUWUTA, BUNDIG LOURE, Chris Humphries. Thinks Kline Shann TUTION, Thicit Dury, Timil 1 Ring, Ruben bicen. Rian Seeltz. Jame Bodic and Stevn Mila

PLEME TAKE MOTILE that UN NWEMBER 21st of 2015 Normin Kevin Wilkerian will file a sivil complaint Aviorant to 28. U.S.L 1883, which is attached hereto, with other related documents and made apart of this Fuir Notice

biven under My hand this 13th dut of Wovember 2015.

Respectfully Submitted In bood fuith By Norman Kevin Wilkerun 4150 HATEL MILL ROUND BUKUVIIL VICSION 23915

## IN THE IJNITED STATES DISTRICT LODGET FUR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA NORFOLK DIVILIUM

Norman Kevin Wilkerson, No. 1007354 Plaintiff

LIVIT ALTON NO. \_\_\_\_\_

٧.

COUNTY OF CHESTERFIELD; CHESTERFIELD
POULE DEPARTMENT, CHESTERFIELD COUNTY
LOMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY DEFILE, CHESTERFIELD COUNTY ATTORNEY DEFILE, William
CONVENIUTY, Thomas McKenni, Luxu Khawuyu.
Barbra cooke, Chris Humbries, Shannon Taylor,
Thierry Dunis, Timothy Rins, Ruben breen,
Ryan spetz, James Bodie, and Steven L.
Micas, individually and in their official
Caraity.

Defendants

## I JURISDIL1IDN É VENIVE

This is a civil Action Authorized by 42 U.S.L. sedim 1983 to cedeus the deplination under color of state law, of cights Secured by the contribution of the united state. The court has Jurisduken under 28 U.S.L. sedim 1331 and 1343. Plaintiff wilkeron seaks declaration called Pursuant to 28 U.S.L. Sedim 2701 and 2702. Plaintiff wilkerson claims for indunition raict pursuant to 28 U.S.L. sedim 2784 and rule 65 of the Faderal rules of civil procedure.

The eastern district is the convolute Vanue under 28 Us.L. section 1391 belowe it is where the event given rise to this dam occurred

## II PLAINTIFF

Plaintiff. Numen Kevin Wilkerson. Proceeding by himself is and was Of OII times mentional herein as Plaintiff being of the state of Virginia. Lucrenth illegally confinal in Baskerville Jouertimal unit, 4150 Haves mill Road. Baskerville, Virginia 23915.

### III DEFENDANTS

who: William Daveneut, lummunwealth Atturner

When: 1)24116es 29, 2014

When thesterfield winty Virginia, P.V.BDx 25, 23832

HOW FUITURE TO BIVE PLUMANT WITKUSON IN FORESETURE NEWSONS US OF MOTHER OF YOU.

Whi Neslisence

who: Thomas McKenna, Asst. Immunwealth Attorney

when: DLADBES 29, 2014

While Chester field wints Vilginia. P.O. Box 25, 23832

HOW: Violetal Plantiff Wilkeson's SiAL: Fourteenth Amendment risht to be provided

a furcherture hearing on his proporti as a mother of laws

Whi: Nesligene

Who: LUKE KHAINKIYA, PROSCIUTION Attunet.

When: DLADber 13, 2015

where thesterfield wints Virginia R. U. Box 25, 23832

HOW: Violested Plantiff Wilkesin's Fifth & Fourteenth Manulment right to be now with

Who: Barba Looke, AsH. Immunwealth Attornet

when: 2014

Whise: Chestistical lounts Vilginia, P.O. Box 25, 23832

HOW: VIOLUTIA ART SELTIN OF the VIRSINIA TUNKTHAM 61 DIDLUTIA her OUTH OF OHTH OF STATES OF INTURNATION INTO THE PETTING OF INTURNATION OF PETTING THE AMEDICAL ALCOHOLIST WILLIAMS FOR CAMERICAL ASSOCIATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

Why: Nesligace

who: Chris Humphries ! Thomas Kline, Dededives

When: 2013

Whole: Chesterfield Mounta Virginia P.D. Box 248.2383Z

HOW: (15 mmitted persuit. ROUND UPON the LOUIT to obtain Plaintiff wilkerson's erroneous convition. and failed to round plaintiff wilkerson a forefriture hearing on his property as a motter of law

Juhi Negligare

Who: Shunnun L. Tutlur

When MARCH 11. 2013

While P.O. BOX 9775. HENGLO, VICTIMIA 23273-0775

HOW: DIVEN INDUE INFLUENCE. VIOLUTING DOTH OF OFFICE BY CONSTAINS WITH the CIPOVE DESCRIPTION TO FAILED CLICKET PROPERTY OF COMES ASSENTED THE LEMMONWEATH. LINE VING MINE INFLUENCE TO DO IT

wht because plaintiff wilkeren filed a remoleint regulart her for her failure to perfect his more what she was his potents while she was embared at beance

Coul bout to Prevent Munlist from messing up her where to bein the High While of Commowealth Alteria of Heaving lands

Who: Thierry DUPUS & Timothy Ring, the Chief & Curton of the

Chestusald wents Pulice demotment

IIIhin.

7/213

Whole: Chesturid lunts Vigina P.O. Box 48, 23837

HOW: BELLIVIE that EVE resourble for the training and company arounding Defordants Kline and humehires to detrolive and are liable for the Action of Debuillets Kinc ! Humbie.

Wht. Westisme

Who: Ruben breen

When:

7/1/5

Where Chestufield lounty Vissinia Ru. Bux 25

HOW: Undertal Plaintiff Wilkerin's Sixth Amendment right under the underly Chandlement, States Constitution by Knownill and Intertunally concerning durated Wilkerly while unite investigation for misurable which related resulted of listing his livere to readice law white Reventing Munist wilkows

Whi Westisme

Who: RYUN SPELLZ

When: Dulcher 13, 2015

WhIIC

How: Fulselt remerenting Allindiff willrown's furefuture hearing when he was

No linger Pluintitt Wilkerin's counsel of rewall. With the intent to foul-ur Pluintitt Wilkerin's claim Usunit the Cibour defendants Viviletial Pluintitt Wilkerin's riskt to slue Prices of law by Fashin's illesally densins Pluintit Wilkerin a furctiture hearns on his parent Cus or multer of law.

iuht: Westisme.

Who: Steven L. Mills. Chestufield wanty Attunet

Whin: MOVEMBER 4, 2015

Where: SO3 Administration Blds, Lhestufield, Va. 23832

HOW: 64 Knowing the definition's who were Violatin's Aluntith wilkway I'Vil (15hts And Alta brins worthad defendant Micus did Ubwhite Nothing with the Known Knowledse

Why. Westisme.

## IV STATEMENT OF FALTS

Wern instrumution and belief the suite fell souver within the sugge of section 1983 because Pluintiff Wilkeson's Complaint Chibually Allegal a lanspicul motivatal by undue use of undue influences to derive Plundiff Wilkerson of a best of Seiver Constitutional rights by deriving Plaintiff wilkerun of his property without alse process of law by defendants Davenpurt. Mckemu. Khawata. Looke. Humphies, breen and spelle of Chestestical wints is indue influence of defendant Total of the Commonwealth Alturnet's office of Henrico wants herwise Muntiff wilkum Filed a complant with the Virgoia State Box assume Defendant totlor For her fullyce to Perfect Alantist Wilkerlin's BARCAL When she was Alantist WILLESIN'S Afformer, and internowest to plundiff willesin, defendant Tutlu was running for the Hish office of lamminwealth Alturnes of HEAVIO COUNTS, MULLONES, WEFCHINGS DEVISED U SCHEME to KUNE Pluintiff Wilkerson derivat of his liberts and awards in the Violation of the equal POTECTION CLUVE, and Artin scalin ine of the Twitcenth Amendment of the united states unstitution by taken plantiff wilkusurs project without affordins plantist Wilkerin a fixefeiture hearing on his property as a multu ut law on Dither 13, 2015 wan the motion of defendant Khuwuth. Therefore, defendants unspired for the purpose of deriving Plaint. It wilkeson directly, with the intention of depaying Plaint If wilkeson of due Process of Kow. and equal Protection of law, and in Wwenter 4th defendant Micas Was informal of the Other defendants knownall

depoising planties wilking of his property without cofficiens him a furtibul heurns of a mother of law through an neglician For Private Culmoistration Prival Cont defendant Mich blade blade und intertionally ignored plaintist just williams attempt to confer. and his fully to remade a renshiturious wins after learning of Pllinliff Wilkulin's Finilamontal risht to have a furefecture hearing on his provets as a motter of law. If two or more persons lineare tur the purple of impending hindering obstruction or defeating and Mumes, the due course of Justine in and state or Territori, with intest to deal to an I day the equal potation of the king. or to in luce him or his paperts for intensfull or Altering to Potice the Cisht of Cal Celin to the PSUCI Particles at Man in due poseis of law. The puts so insured or deprived most have an Altin tol the relovery of damages ouvriend by Such insuring allinging assembly any one or more of the constitution assurant to 28 11.1. 1983.

## V-EXHAUSTION OF LEGAL REMEDIES

Phintill Wilkerson filed Notice of Clam on December 8, 2013.

IN the office of the Afford beneal of Virginia by earlified Mail with return receirt No. 7012 2920 0000 7288 0626 as regularly by Section 8.01-195.6, and eluntill wilkuson wed Philate Admini-Station Process to Confer and settle the matter November 4, 2015 to No Avail see Atlached heets certification to land and Malachen For Administration Private Process.

## VI- LEGAL LIAIM

Defendant's Knownell and Intertructly Violated Alandot ivikesias
fixiteenth Amendment risht under the united statis contribution by
depinions plaintiff of his Paperts for DVV four seas without affording
him a forefeiture hewing as a mother of law. The fourteenth Amendment reads in Part:

"NUI Shull and State clerive and person of life liberty Of Property Without due Process of law; now dend to and person Within its Swishishion the equal protection of law

## VII- PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE Plaintiff Wilkeson Plat that this louit enter Judsment?

branting Plaintift wilkeson a deduction that the Acts and

ismissions described herein Violated his rights under the Ionstitutions and laws of the united states, and

A Preliminary and Permanent indunation pade ins defendants
Shanan Tuxlur, her husband Chris humehirs, and Thomas
Kline to Leave their Vinda Ha against Pluntit wilkurn, and

bant Aluntist Wilkusun lemensuluit slemess in the amount of five Millian chillus (\$ 5,000 000 000 hallows) (1901 inst Chesturield (00014), and

BILAT Pluntitt Wilkerun lemensuturi demuses in the Panient of Five Million Millers L. M. S. DOD DOD DO Millers) CISUINST Chesterield ICONTI POLICE derectment, and

brint Pluntist Wilkerson Lempenschut demuses in the Amunt at tive Million Abillars (# 5,000,000,00 dallars) assinst the Chestertistill Cemmanweath Attanet affice, and

bant Aluntist Wilkusun tempersoture demose in the Ament of two hundred thussand dullers (8 200,000 to dullers) assumet each defendant, Jointh Cod Severalls, and

Pluntitt wilkerson seeks Panitive Demoses in the Commont of one hundral thousand dollars (# 100,000 00 dollars), ascinct each defendant. Jointh and Severally

Plunkt Wilkeson also seem a Jun tral on all

Pluintiff Wilkerson seeks recover of his rost in this Dust i and any culdistional relief this roust deems Just, Proper, and Egustable.

autal Movember 13, 2015

RESPECTIVITY SUBMITTED,

BY INVIMON KEVIN WILKEIUN

FLUINITH HV LIN

4150 HUTTI MIT ROUN

BUSKUVITE, VITSINIA 23915

## VERIFICATION

I have read the Foresoins lumplant and huebt Verity that the Mutter allesal hour are true exect as to mutter allesal on information and belief, and as to those, I believe than to be true, I cetity under results of Peders that the Foresows is true, and lowerf.

Executal at Benneville leviedinal unit.

MACLINTON

REG #

7524935

COMMISSION EXPIRES

9/30/2016

6AI TH O

Must COL # 7524935

expile 9.30-16

NUMEN KEVIN WILLKUSON

Caty County of MECK CENTURES

Communication of Virginia

The foregoing instrument was economistiged before unitality of Month K. MICKER SON

Martin CELL Month 9-307(6)

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRILT COINCLE FOR THE EASTERN DISTRIL OF VIRGINIA NOCFOLK DIVISION

Defendints

NOrman Kevin Wilkerson. No 1007354 Pluintist	Civil Action No.
VJ.	EVIDENILE IN STIPPORT DE
LOUNTY DF CHESTERFIELD. et al.	

- 1. <u>Exhibit # 1</u> is cleur unit lununums evidence that defendant Turtlur Timmitted an error cisionet Alumbili's wolkerson's Careal ameri that bives her motive to be cifler Alumbilit to cleaver Alambili of his libert and Alphult without due ameis of law.
- 2. Exhibit #2 is clew and renverious evidence that Disvid Suction, the cinfidntial informat boxe Persural tediment (1901) of Plaintiff on behalf of Definite Total because when he was risk "does he have any realing thanses in the head tomorrowealthe Alfanet definition to the head tomorrowealthe Alfanet definition for the head tomorrowealthe.
- 3 Exhibit #3 is cleur and convening evidence that award stacken lammitted Postury, because it's evidente that he has a possession of lantabled substance.

  and band scarent films of chara pending in Henrio counts before defordant Tatlos.
- 4. Exhibit #4 is cless and lununing dillure. From the record that the

Basis of the Seizure beins the Service of the asset forcione information in its Idulabeths are in thirt Prouns that the relace of his parely in which is possess and invading which be seened from the involved information was in fact invalentation and plaintiff liberty must be restored as a matter of law, and Plaintiff being divided a forcefeiture hearing, both idential of due proiess of law, and see exhibit its Michael hereto., and

S-EXHIBIT #6 is clear and lanvarious exidence that detectant XERIWALLA WAS OFFICE TO THE SOUTH THE FAIT HAVE ABOUTED TO THE HUNGET TO LANGUE ABOUTED ABOUTED ABOUTED ASSOCIATED AS SELECTIVE.

Inwavehin. Under the doubline the due Proless and equal Protection Clauses of the Touteenth Philipped Provide each State to recognize Centain fundamental liberties that are enumerated in the bill of rishts because such liberties are aleemed essential to the consert of freedom and equality. To sether with the surrement clause of Article VII, the fourteenth Amendment Prohibits and State from Moviding less Protection for a right conserved by the Sixth Phandment than is provided under the frederical length of the Sixth Phandment than is

Dutal November 13, 2015

Charlement of MECKLEMOUR'S
Commonweals of Virginia
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before as:
18th 14 they of Mountain & July they public
Muscles CS JL Bottery Public
Reg. # 7524935 Com. Bap. 9-30-2016

ALSMERTULLY SUBMITTED

BY HUMAN KEVIN WILKEION

ALLINTON

PRES

REG - LOTEL MILL LOOU

REG - COMMISSION

EXPIRES

9/30/2016

### SHANNON L. TAYLOR, ESQUIRE P.O. BOX 90775 HENRICO, VIRGINIA 23273-0775

MAR 1 9 2012

March 19, 2012

BY HAND-DELIVERY

James C. Bodie, Esquire Intake Counsel Virginia State Bar 707 East Main Street, Suite 1500 Richmond, Virginia 23219 EVIDENCE

Re: Inquiry from Norman K. Wilkerson, VSB #12-444-091071

Dear Mr. Bodie,

I received the letter from the Virginia State Bar dated March 8<sup>th</sup> that included a complaint from Mr. Wilkerson dated February 22<sup>nd</sup> regarding my handling of his criminal case and appeal of the same matter, specifically stating that I "did not handle his matter with care" and "had no concern about his well-being". By way of this letter, I hope to outline the chronology of his case. Since I am no longer employed at Boone Beale Law Firm, to the extent that there is any written correspondence in support of my representations, the firm will have provided them to me and they will be included as enclosures.

Mr. Wilkerson retained the services of Boone Beale Law Firm several years ago, and was represented by Mr. Jason Anthony, who was employed by Boone Beale at that time. However, there came a time that Mr. Anthony was no longer able to represent Mr. Wilkerson and, with Mr. Wilkerson's consent, I became his attorney of record and represented him in a bench trial before now retired Judge Theodore Markow in the City of Richmond Circuit Court. Mr. Wilkerson was charged with numerous felonies regarding the possession of counterfeit products and one felony regarding the possession of oxycodone. Judge Markow found him guilty of all six charges, but later, he wrote a letter to counsel indicating that he may have been incorrect in his findings regarding some of the counterfeit charges and, thus, dismissed two of those felony charges. A sentencing hearing was subsequently held on the remaining matters and Mr. Wilkerson was given a suspended term of imprisonment on the remaining four charges.

At the sentencing hearing, Mr. Wilkerson was advised by the trial court about his right to appeal the trial court's findings/convictions, and I discussed with Mr. Wilkerson his option to retain Boone Beale to represent him on his appeal. He indicated that he was unable to retain the services of the firm and requested court-appointed counsel. The trial court appointed me to handle the appeal. Once I was appointed to handle the appeal, the petition for appeal was timely filed with the Court of Appeals; however, there was an error in properly filing the transcripts and, as a result, the appeal was "denied" versus "dismissed". This was an important distinction that I had to be educated on by the staff at the Virginia Supreme Court because in order to fix the

mistake, a habeas petition had to be filed by a different attorney separate and apart from Boone Beale. Charles C. Cosby, Esquire, accepted to handle the habeas matter *pro bono* (without any cost to Mr. Wilkerson) and the habeas petition was timely filed; the Office of the Attorney General agreed that Mr. Wilkerson should be given the opportunity to restart the appeal process and the Virginia Supreme Court granted the petition which allowed us to "refile" the petition for appeal.

There was a change in circumstance on November 8<sup>th</sup> when I won an election and was no longer going to be employed by Boone Beale. The firm filed the appropriate paperwork and David G. Boyce, Esquire, was substituted as counsel of record for the appeal.

As I stated above, to the extent that there is written correspondence in support of these representations, they are enclosed. However, in addition to these written documents, I am aware that Mr. Wilkerson called our office numerous times searching for answers regarding the appeal process and the timeliness of when things would happen. I recall that the only matter for which I could apologize for was the error I committed by not filing the transcripts in a timely manner and I told him I was pleased that we were able to remedy the mistake. However, I also told him that I had no control over other agencies as to when certain steps would be accomplished, e.g. the habeas being granted by the Virginia Supreme Court. Furthermore, I explained to him that there were no guarantees or promises that all of the convictions would be won on appeal; specifically I told him that I thought there was a very good legal argument regarding the counterfeit charges, but that the argument regarding the drug charge may be more difficult.

I regret that Mr. Wilkerson feels that our office was not concerned about his well-being because such is simply not true. His case was hard fought and I still believe strongly in the appeal success regarding the counterfeit charges.

I hope that the aforementioned information is helpful in resolving this matter. Please do not hesitate to contact me at 804/501-4218 if you should have any questions.

Very truly yours,

Shannon L. Taylor

VSB #38852

/slt Enclosures

- pending in Henrico, right?
- 2 A. No, sir.
- 3 Q. What do you have?
- 4 A. I got a violation in Henrico.
- 5 Q. You have a drug charge pending, don't you?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. You have a grand larceny charge pending?
- 8 A. No, I've been sentenced on that.
- 9 Q. I'm sorry?
- 10 A. I have already been sentenced on the two charges.
- 11 Q. Okay. All right. At the time these charges -- at
- 12 the time you first decided you were going to try to set up
- 13 Mr. Wilkerson, you got charges, both?
- 14 A. No. No, I don't have any charges.
- 15 Q. Okay. You incurred them later?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. So even after you committed the crime that
- 18 led you to try to go after somebody else, namely Mr.
- 19 Wilkerson, after that happened, you still picked up more
- 20 charges?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. You kept on committing crimes, right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Now, you have got quite a checkered history,
- 25 Mr. Jackson. I'm going to read you this history, you tell me

DACASE 2:15-C130531-RESPEN DOCUMENT 1 Filed 12/04/15 Page 17 0132 Page 17 00 Page 17 01 Page 17 01

Exculpatory Information for Confidential Informant CW v. Norman Wilkerson

### Prior Convictions:

- 0 1983:
  - Statutory Burglary
- 0 1989:
  - Possession of Cocaine
- 0 2002:
  - Possession of Schedule I/II
  - Misdemeanor concealment
  - Misdemeanor probation revocation
- o 2004:
  - Possession of Schedule I/II
  - Trespassing
  - Escape without force (misdemeanor)
- 0 2005:
  - Felony probation revocation
  - Forging public records
- o 2007:
  - Petit Larceny
- 0 2008:
  - Felony probation revocation
- o 2011:
  - Felony Petit 3<sup>rd</sup>
  - Petit larceny
- o 2012:
  - Possession of Marijuana
  - Possession of controlled paraphernalia

#### Pending matters:

- Felony probation revocation pending in C'fld (no promises made)
- Henrico:
  - o Possession of controlled substance
  - o Grand larceny

E-DEKJURY

### Consideration Given/Previous work as a CI for Chesterfield PD:

- Hasn't testified in any other cases.
- did several controlled buys with folks other than Wilkerson.
  - o Has not received consideration for work either on those cases, or this one.

116

- 1 a motion in limine or a motion to suppress, and we are not
- 2 there.
- MR. GREENE: Judge, the Commonwealth has mentioned
- 4 in an opening statement and through -- has listed the basis
- 5 of the seizure being the service of this asset forfeiture
- 6 warrant in its case in chief, in opening statement through
- 7 its witness. So I think I should be entitled to ask the
- 8 witness if these are the appropriate documents or what do
- 9 they reflect. Now, the balance would be for argument as to
- 10 what the import would be. I do think that it goes to the
- 11 weight or credibility of the Commonwealth's case.
- THE COURT: What are the documents?
- MR. GREENE: Judge, the documents are the original
- 14 information and the amended information.
- THE COURT: Let me see the documents.
- MR. GREENE: Yes, sir. I apologize, one has a mark.
- 17 I apologize for my mark.
- 18 THE COURT: What's the purpose of these?
- 19 MR. GREENE: Judge, again, I think it goes -- I
- 20 think it's proper for the jury to consider as to the weight
- 21 and credibility of the Commonwealth's case. I mean, they're
- 22 basing this off of the seizure of one drug. Again, this
- 23 information all stems from this informant. So, Judge, the
- 24 Commonwealth can certainly try to explain it, if they like.
- 25 But I think it is appropriate for the jury to consider why,

117

- 1 if the information comes initially from an informant on which
- 2 they are basing much of their case, why this information was
- 3 incorrect and the first seizure warrant had to be later
- 4 amended.
- 5 So I think that is appropriate to consider as to
- 6 weight or gravamen of the Commonwealth's case. They can
- 7 assess what value they think is appropriate.
- 8 MS. KHAWAJA: And, Judge, those are documents that
- 9 relate to a completely separate civil process that is not
- 10 related to this case here today. And I think that if it
- 11 needed to be challenged, it could either be done through that
- 12 process or it could have been done in a motion before trial.
- 13 MR. GREENE: The Commonwealth has introduced the
- 14 fact of the seizure as a basis for this action in its opening
- 15 statement and through its witnesses. So we are -- this to
- 16 going to the weight of the evidence. It's part of the
- 17 Commonwealth's case in chief in the criminal case, which they
- 18 introduced here. We didn't bring this up first, they did.
- 19 THE COURT: Okay.
- 20 MS. KHAWAJA: I would object.
- 21 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. I will have
- 22 those marked as Defendant's A and B and will not go to the
- 23 jury.
- 24 MR. GREENE: Would the Court note --
- 25 THE COURT: They will be made a part of the

Jeling =>

121 1 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. GREENE: 3 Q. Sir, were you ever aware that my client was 4 represented by a Virginia attorney by the name --5 Judge, outside the scope of redirect 6 MS. KHAWAJA: 7 and it's not relevant. 8 THE COURT: I'll let him state the question. 9 MR. GREENE: Thank you, Judge. 10 BY MR. GREENE (Continuing) 11 12 My question is, did you ever become aware prior to Q. this investigation that my client was represented by a local 13 14 attorney named Shannon Taylor? 15 MS. KHAWAJA: Judge, same objection. 16 17 THE COURT: Sustained. MR. GREENE: Thank you, that's all. 18 19 MS. KHAWAJA: Judge, the Commonwealth --20 THE COURT: May Detective Humphries be excused? MS. KHAWAJA: I would like to reserve him for 21 22 rebuttal. THE COURT: All right. You are temporarily excused, 23 Thank you for your testimony this afternoon. 24 Detective. 25 Next witness?

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT LOURT FOR THE EASTERN IDISTRICT OF VIRGINIA NORFOLK DIVISION

Numen Keun Wilkerson, No 1007354 Plantiff

LUSE	NO.	
------	-----	--

V.

Lounti of Chesterfield, et al. Defendants.

## AFFIDANIT TO SUPPURT WILKERSON'S ATTEMPT TO LOWIER

- I. Norman Kevin Wilkerson. the Muintiff herein beins First dult Swoin deduce and Say:
- I have been fishting this deprivation of My liberty and the deprivation of My Property without due provers of law diresently. Attached hards are true and romed cones of My realistication to confu "Ambiculian to promote settlement", and a time and correct copy of My Ambiculian for private administrative proves before fradual enforcement with in Soud Faith without presidence.

Allient

I hereby declar under penalty of persunt pursuant to \$ 1746 that the above are time to the best of My Knowledge and belief.

Affiliat

## LDMMDNWEALTH DF VIRBINIA In the office of the limits Attornes P.D. BOX 40 Cheskefield, Vissinia 2383Z

Expute'

LERTIFILATION TO LONFEIL

IN RE: Violution of Duth of Office, Floud, use of under influence
Norman Kerin Wilkirson. # 1007354

FIL No.		

## APPLICATION TO PROMOTE SETTLEMENT

I. Numun Kevin Wilkerson, the indust Posts. Proceeding by himself.

Ond represent to some rounter for Private redministrative process to promote to settle this mother (see exhibit A vitoshad hereto). The counter Attorners office most limitationise and settle diviste, and controversies involving all interest of the country of chesterfield including but not limited to law suits. Mr. wilkerson is william to settle this mother within seven dury after the country recent of this matical in a settlement is not met in seven business days. Mr. wilkerson may seek comings procedured for luminarial limits 27 C.F.R. 72 is which includes but not limited to:

- i. Hurusment.
- 2. TIESIII);
- 3. Fulse implisionment:
- 4. Fraud 18 115.1. 1018.
- 5. Livil Rucket celins, 18.11.1.1963,

- Co. Kulsification of Public documents. 18 115.2. 1001.
- 7. Use of Water undue influence by townsel of the board Just.
- 8. Derivation of livil rights after wotice of the Fact, and low 42. U.S.L. 1986 requiring recedent established by Racher King Y. Venture, Mandatory for 100) years at Prior term and \$10,000 dollar fine for derivation of livil rights with Knowledge and intent

MI. Wilkerson has the right to exercise private administrative process for resolution before whitzing tradecal enhancement.

Mr. Wilkerson has initiated this proveil and tintact in scool faith, implied in administrative is law, and has executation of same from all agents, employees offices, and the private Sector.

MI. WIKEIUM'S EXPEDICATION that agents, employees officers, and the Private Statur Will act failt, and himself in Good faith, without intent to cheat, hunder delay desicul, lie, lacke, use under influence, or Viulate their Dath of office.

Mi. Wilkesiun dires not clisume the liainte of Chesterficial is ideceitables.
Otherstans to cissest a requirement where none exists.

Fur four years the agencies of the counts of chesterficial has derived Mr. 14:1Kerson of both his liberts what due Process of law using under influence and decrived him of his Property without but remember and due process of law.

WHENEFUNE, MI. WIKUSUN request all Parties Conserned will come in one occasil to settle the mother between Federal in ontonement 61 Navember 13, 1015

Executal November 2, 2015

Besteitfullt Submitteil. In bood finith By Human Kevin Wilkeisian

I ASK FUR THIS

Normal Work

BUSKESUSHE COSTECTIONAL

HISO HUTES MILL ROOM

BUSKEVIIIL, VICSINIA 23915

Subscribed and Swan to before me a notar this 2 dur of

Notas Public

My Limmillion expires Debra 4.34fmi 31 March 2016 Reg # 291319

## LOMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA In the counts Attorney office P.O. Box 40 Chesterfield, Vicginia 23832

"Ex parte"

Norman Kevin Wilkerson No. 1007354
Baskeville Correctional center
4150 Hares Mill Road
Baskeville, Virginia 23915

Mr. Steven L. Milas 503 Administration Bids Chesterfield, Vicsinia 23832

APPLICATION FOR PRIVATE ADMINISTRATIVE PROLESS

RE: Derivation of Property Wilhout Just compensation: Violation of Dath of Office...
Administrative Corruption. Fifth Amendment Violation. and fourteenth AmendMent Violation of the U.S. constitution. Froud. and Criminal Acts by agents of
the country of Chestafield Virginia

I. Norman Kevin Wilkerson. The injurid Parts, Proceeding by himself, and respectfulls confer for Private administrative Process to Settle this Mutter. (see exhibit a Ottached hereto). Via good faith and to Satisfy and oblisation established or indicated by and Presentment from the counts by agreement predicated and randitioned upon discovers and evidence. In summer of this conticution. Mr. wilkerson says as follows:

First. Mr. Wilkerson's Consens are grounded in a Berr of both state and federal Violations.

For almost four (4) Years Particl against Mr. Wilkerson have

AMLIATION

derived him of his property without Just commensation, and derived him of his property without due process of law.

Lecond. Mr. Wilkerion's rights existed long unteredent to the organization of the country Hale V. Henkle 201 U.S. 43 at 74. (1905). and the above reference is the souvemen of this Motter. For example:

The commonwealth's and police derutment of the countr of Chesterfield took Mr. wilkering property based was invalid information. Keet his property for four (4) years without affording him a forefeiture heaving and perestrations a fraud by Ulims under influences. Uiolating their outh of office, and committing criminal Acts Knowingly. With intent to love up correction.

Third. Mr. Wilkerson how the risht to exercise private admini-Strutive places for resolution before Utilizins federal enforcement.

Fwith: Mr. Wilkeren has resonal knowledge of the fact of the matter and are antoined in affidavit form data notarized

Fifth, Mr. Wilkerson how initiated this process and wentout in sood faith implied in administrative or law.

Sixth. Mr. Wilkerson hus exceptation of same from all asents. employees. officers of the counts. this communucally, and the private sector.

AMILATION

Seven. Mr. Wilkerson's executation that agents, employees.
officers of the rounds. this communically, and the private sector
will Act fairly, and honestly, in good faith, and without intent
to chect, hinder, delay, defroud, lie, coerce, use undue influence,
or Violate their outh of office.

The county to this private administrative placess where the purview of this Matter is being reviewal is to:

- 1. Substantiate the Validiti and the accuracy of their Presentment.
- 2 Produce Constitutional authority of compains Purlies to act assumed
- 3. Operate in Sood faith, and conduct matter under the priciples of the American Jurisprudice and law.
  - 4. At in a manner not to locale Mr. Wilkerian under Lolor of law.
- 5. Insure that, in this instant matter, prosing patien or the counts agrees not to commit fraudulent consealment, denial of substantiate substantiale substantiale substantiale substantial due prosess of law, or where compsing patificants is a private man / women, within the context above, Validate the claim. Acts, or otherwise as to show action. Statements were proved; lawful and correct and that the

DPPULING Puties to this matter actions were not insurious in any ideals.

Eight. Mr. Wilkeren dues not assume the wenty of Chesterfield is deceitfult attemptions to assert a requirement Where none exists.

Winth. Host Som days after your recent of this arthurin MI. WILKUIM does Not receive a response to the settle this Muller un une accord Mr. Wilkerlyn Will on the 16th day of November 2015 initiate folial enforcement to revolve this matter.

WHEREFORE, Mr. Wilkeren humbit Mot that all Purlies Conven Will come in good fuith. Without Medudice and on the alloyd to resolve this Motter by November 13. 2015 William Induid involvement

Dated 41/2/15/



Respectfully Submitted. Norman Kevin Wilkeran AUC FIR THIC

Subscribed and swom to before me a notart this 9 day of NUMEMBY 2015

Mintary Public Mustback

9-30-2016 MT (committee expect -

MALILATIDIS

	Commonwealth of Virgin	nia i was acknowledgi	ed before a
	this <u>9</u> day of	movember	<u>20%</u>
4 of 4	Must a		lotary Pub
	769. # 7524935	Com Bap. 9	30-2016

City/County of MECKIENOURG

## COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA IN THE STATE POLICE DEPARTMENT P.O.BOX 27472

RICHMOND VIRGINIA23261

From: Norman Kevin Wilkerson, #1007354

To whom this may concern,

In 2013 I was wrongfully convicted based upon perjury by Law enforcement and a confidential informant with crime of tupertude. I have been deligently trying to resolve this mistake in good faith. However all my attempt to confer this mistate have gone unnotice, which has left me no clear remedy except seek criminal charges against those whom hav violated their oath of office while acting under the color of state law .I. Therefore request humbly that you present the inclosed criminal complaint to the correct authority for legal action be taken in accordace to law.

Thank you very kindly for your patient and assistance in this mattere, If you have any questions contact me in writing at, Baskerville correctional unit, 4150 Hayes Mill Road, Baskervile, Virginia 23915, My best regards, I remain,

11/15/15

C.C Atty Gen.

Sincerely

Norman Kevin Wilkerson

Victim

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

CKIMINAL COMPLAIN	RULES 3A:3 AND 7C:3	
Print ALL information clearly:	to the state of th	CASE NO.
		CRIMINAL COMPLAINT
		ACCUSED: Name, Description, Address/Location
Under penalty of perjury, I, the undersigned Complainant swear or affirm that I have reason to believe that the Accused committed a criminal offense, on or about	swear or affirm that I have reason to believe that the	Chris humphries
sectember 2013 in the [ ] City	City [X] County [ ] Town	P.O. Box. 48.
of Cheaterfield, Virginia		
I hase my belief on the following facts:		COMPLETE DATA BELOW IF KNOWN
That the accossditestiffed under oath and made a falsehood	er oath and made a falsehood	SEX
under the color of state law in violation ofsection 8.01-4.3	.violation ofsection 8.01-4.3	NSS NSS
of the virginia code by testifying that his informants	ing that his informants	
information was true and reliable when in fact the accused	ole when in fact the accused	LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER USE ONLY
knew perfectly well the informant's testimony was also	int's testimony was also	Charges requested:
in violation of section 8.01-4.3	5	Code Section [ ] State   _   Local
The statements above are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.	wledge and belief.	Charge:
In making this complaint, I have read and fully understand the following:  • By swearing to these facts. I agree to appear in court and testify if a warrant or summons is issued.	the following: if a warrant or summons is issued	
• The charge in this warrant cannot be dismissed except by the court, even at my request.	urt, even at my request.	Orde Section State
Wilkerson, norman kevin	Moreon Willers	State
NAMF OF COMPLAINANT (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE) (PRINT CLEARLY)	SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT	Cliatgo.
Subscribed and sworn to before me this day.		Name of Victim:
	•	Name of Officer:
DATF AND TIME	C) CLERK C) MAGISTRATE () JUDGE	BADGE/CODE #:
		DEPARTMENT:

FORM DC-311 11/96 PC (114:6-010 2/97)

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER USE ONI:Y HAR ACCUSED: Name, Description, Address/Location Henrico, Va. 23273 EYES Taylor, Shannon
Last name. First name. Middle name CRIMINAL COMPLAINT COMPLETE DATA BELOW IF KNOWN CASE NO. Code Section L. | State | \_ | Local Code Section | | State | | Local P.O. Box 90775 Born Day | Yr. Charges requested: BADGE/CODE #: Name of Officer: . 0 Name of Victim: **DEPARTMENT:** fm RACE SEX Charge: Charge: 3 attorney's office and the police department of chesterfield cou-Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Court RULES 3A:3 AND 7C:3 of his liberty and property without due process of law for almost four years because mr.wilkerson filed a complaint against nty to bring false charges againt mr.wilkerson to deprive him Under penalty of perjury, I, the undersigned Complainant swear or affirm that I have reason to believe that the That the accused conspired with agents of the commonwealth the accused for her failure to perfect his appeal in 2009. [] CLERK [] MAGISTRATE [] JUDGE SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT General District Court By swearing to these facts, I agree to appear in court and testify if a warrant or summons is issued. .....in the CT City KT County Town The charge in this warrant cannot be dismissed except by the court, even at my request. The statements above are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief. In making this complaint, I have read and fully understand the following: Norman Kevin Wilkerson Accused committed a criminal offense, on or about Chesterfield, Virginia NAMF OF COMPLAINANT (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE) (PRINT CLEARLY) I have my belief on the following facts: Subscribed and sworn to before me this day. .....June..6th.2011 DATE AND TIME Print ALL information clearly: of ....

FORM DC-311 11/96 PC (114:6-010 2/97)

CKIMINAL CONFLAINT	RULES 3A:3 AND 7C:3	-
Print ALL information clearly:	General District Court	CASE NO.
Juven Juven	Juvenile and Domestic Relations District Court	CRIMINAL COMPLAINT
		ACCUSED: Name, Description, Address/Location
Under penalty of perjury, I, the undersigned Complainant swear or affirm that I have reason to believe that the Accused committed a criminal offense, on or about	t I have reason to believe that the	.Davenport, William. Last name. First name. middle name
0ct,29th.2014in the City X County	, Town	P.•.0. Box48.
or of chesterfield Virginia		Chesterfield, Va.
		23832
I hase my belief on the following facts:		DATA BELOW IF KNOWN
That on october 29th the accused failed t	ailed.to.provide.norman	
Kevin wilkerson a forefeiture hearing as	ring as required by law.	NSS
that on march 7th 2011 the accused took mr wilkerson's property	nr wilkerson's property	
based upon invalid information knowingly with the intent to	with the intent to	LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER USE ONLY
fruad upon the grand	jury by the use of undue	Charges requested:
ction	eight of the va.const.,	Code Section [   State   _   Local
The statements above are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.	•	Charge:
In making this complaint, I have read and fully understand the following:    Ry swearing to these facts. I agree to appear in court and testify if a warrant or summons is issued.		
• The charge in this warrant cannot be dismissed except by the court, even at my request.	uest.	State Cention
	11.14	cuon i i state li i
NAME OF COMPLAINANT (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE)  OPRINT CLEARLY)	SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT	Charge:
Subscribed and sworn to before me this day.		Name of Victim:
		Name of Officer:
DATE AND TIME	☐ MAGISTRATE [] JUDGE	BADGE/CODE #:
		DEPARTMENT:

FORM DC-311 11/96 PC (114:6-010 2/97)